# Harmonized Global Soil Resources Database

Letter of Agreement between FAO and ISRIC (PR 29651)

Vincent van Engelen Niels Batjes Koos Dijkshoorn Jan Huting (March 2005)



Food and Agriculture Organization



**ISRIC** World Soil Information

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying or otherwise, without the prior permission of the copyright owner. Applications for such permission, with a statement of the purpose and extent of the reproduction, should be addressed to the Director, ISRIC - World Soil Information, PO Box 353, 6700 AJ Wageningen, the Netherlands, or to the Director, Information Division, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Viale della Terme di Caracalla, 00100 Rome, Italy.

The designations employed and the presentation of materials in electronic forms do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of ISRIC and FAO concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of is authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries.

Copyright © 2005, ISRIC and FAO

### Disclaimer:

While every effort has been made to ensure that the data are accurate and reliable, ISRIC and FAO cannot assume liability for damages caused by inaccuracies in the data or as a result of the failure of the data to function on a particular system. ISRIC and FAO provide no warranty, expressed or implied, nor does an authorized distribution of the data set constitute such a warranty. ISRIC and FAO reserve the right to modify any information in this document and related data sets without notice.

## Correct citation:

van Engelen VWP, Batjes NH, Dijkshoorn JA and Huting JRM 2005. Harmonized Global Soil Resources Database. Report 2005/06, ISRIC – World Soil Information and Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), Wageningen (17 pp. with data set).

## Inquiries:

c/o Director, ISRIC – World Soil Information PO Box 353 6700 AJ Wageningen The Netherlands Telefax: +31-(0)317-471700 E-mail: <u>soil.isric@wur.nl</u> Web: <u>www.isric.org</u>

c/o Director, AGL FAO Viale delle Terme di Caracalla 00100 Rome Italy Telefax: +39 06 570 56275 E-mail: land-and-water@fao.org

# Contents

SUM	IMARY	. i
1.	INTRODUCTION	1
2. 2.2 2.2 1.4 1.4	<ul> <li>Southern Africa (SOTERSAF)</li> <li>Central and Eastern Europe (SOVEUR)</li> <li>Northeastern Africa (IGAD)</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6
2. 2. 2. 2.	<ul><li>2 Soil parameter estimates</li><li>3 Results</li></ul>	7 7 8
3.	RASTERIZATION	9
4.	CONCLUSIONS1	0
REFE	ERENCES1	0
Ар Ар Ар	ENDICES1opendix 1: SOTER unit composition file1opendix 2: Taxotransfer rule-based soil parameter estimates1opendix 3: Flagging taxotransfer rules1opendix 4: SOTER summary file1	3 4 5

# List of Tables

Table 1. Non soil units at polygon level and their new codes
Table 2. Non soil units at polygon level and their codes in SOTERLAC
Table 3. Non soil units at polygon level and their codes in SOTERSAF
Table 4. Non soil units at polygon level and their codes in SOVEUR
Table 5. Non soil units within soil components and their codes in SOVEUR5
Table 6. Non soil units at polygon level and their codes in SNEA
Table 7. List of soil parameters in secondary SOTER data sets (Batjes 2003)

## SUMMARY

This document presents a harmonized soil resources database for those areas of the globe were SOTER or similar database were available. For all soil components of the mapping units of these databases, a set of 18 soil parameter estimates has been generated. As most soil components seldom hold all physical and chemical attribute data required by SOTER, missing data have been filled, using a procedure that used taxo-transfer rules derived from 9600 profiles in the ISRIC-WISE database.

Parameter estimates are presented by soil unit for fixed depths intervals of 0.2 m to 1 m depth for: organic carbon, total nitrogen, pH (H<sub>2</sub>O), CEC<sub>soil</sub>, CEC<sub>clay</sub>, base saturation, effective CEC, aluminium saturation, CaCO<sub>3</sub> content, gypsum content, exchangeable sodium percentage (ESP), electrical conductivity of saturated paste (EC<sub>e</sub>), bulk density, content of sand, silt and clay, content of coarse fragments (> 2 mm), and available water capacity (-33 to -1500 kPa).

Results are presented in a rasterized version of the geometric database at 5 by 5 arcminutes and a MS Access<sup>®</sup> database. The latter contains tables with the SOTER unit composition and a SOTER summary file with the 18 soil parameter estimates for standard depths for all soil components in a SOTER unit.

**Keywords**: soil parameter estimates, SOTER databases, WISE database.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

A Letter of Agreement (PR 29651) between the Land and Water Development Division of the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO-AGL) and ISRIC – World Soil Information has been signed on 17-09-2004 to establish collaboration in support of producing an updated soil resource information database and generating the data for standard depths for all existing SOTER databases. The project period was from 1-10-2004 until 31-3-2005. The deliverables were further specified in the Terms of Agreement accompanying the LoA:

A Harmonized Global Soil Resources Database with a resolution of 5 arcminutes by 5 arcminutes based on:

a) harmonizing:

- SOTER of Latin America and the Caribbean (scale 1:5 M) (FAO *et al.* 1998b)
- SOTER of Southern Africa (scale 1:1 M and 1:2 M) (FAO and ISRIC 2003)
- SOTER of Central and Eastern Europe (scale 1:2.5 M) (FAO and ISRIC 2000)
- Spatial soil databases of Northeastern Africa / North and Central Eurasia (scales 1:1 M and 1:5 M respectively) (FAO and IIASA 1999; FAO *et al.* 1998a)

The final product would maintain the scales of the source data.

## b) generating soil properties for standard depths:

A total of 18 soil parameter estimates for standard soil depths was generated based on profile data from SOTER (van Engelen and Wen 1995) completed with data from WISE, applying a methodology described by (Batjes 2003).

This report describes the various project activities: in Chapter 2 the modifications to the existing databases have been described;

Chapter 3 discusses the harmonization process, Chapter 4 the rasterization process and the end products, and Chapter 5 gives conclusions and options for future improvements.

# 2. MODIFICATIONS OF PRIMARY DATABASES

Contrary to SOTER conventions (van Engelen and Wen 1995) not all SOTER products are based on the Digital Chart of the World -DCW (DMA 1993) as the standard topographic base map. For Latin America for example (FAO *et al.* 1998b), the topography of the Soil Map of the World (FAO-Unesco 1974) was used. During this project all SOTER databases have been harmonized to DCW boundaries (international boundaries and coastlines).

All new polygons derived from the DCW and smaller than 10  $\text{km}^2$  (roughly 0.3 x 0.3 cm) were removed by using an ArcView script (Jenness 2005).

New data have been added to fill blatant gaps in the attribute database and errors in the attributes have been corrected (Dijkshoorn *et al.* 2005).

To standardize the coding of non-SOTER units in all SOTER databases, e.g. lakes and inland water bodies, glaciers and land ice, or urban areas, the following has been agreed (Table 1):

For water bodies in the GIS table the label has been standardized to ISO country code and ns1 for water (e.g. RUns1 = Russia non soil 1). These polygons do not have a SOTER unit number and thus no longer occur in the SOTER attribute database.

Details of the modifications are given below for each primary database.

Table 1. Non son units at polygon level and their new codes				
SOTER code	Description			
ns1	lakes, permanent inland water bodies			
ns2	glacier, land ice, permanent snow fields			
ns3	salt plains, salt flats			
ns4	dunes, (shifting) sands			
ns5	rock outcrops, crumbly rock?			
ns6	urban, building areas			
ns7	quarry, open air mining and other excavations			
ns8	perennial swamps, inaccessible marshes			
ns9	salt lakes			
ns10	Badlands			
ns99	no data			

Table 1. Non soil units at polygon level and their new codes

Source: van Engelen *et al.* (in prep.)

## 2.1 Latin America and the Caribbean (SOTERLAC)

## Geometry

The topographic base used for SOTER Latin America and the Caribbean (FAO *et al.* 1998b) is similar to that of the 1:5 M FAO-Unesco Soil Map of the World (FAO-Unesco 1974). The DCW international boundaries and coastlines – with a scale of 1:1 M - have now been incorporated into SOTERLAC. This resulted in numerous additions of small islands in the Caribbean and along the Chilean coast. Such new polygons smaller than 10 km<sup>2</sup> have been removed using GIS to obtain a topographic background with a similar detail for all harmonized databases.

A total of 70 polygons in the GIS file refer to non-soil or miscellaneous units. They were given special codes (Table 2) and they were removed from the attribute database.

Tuble 2. Hon son ands at polygon level and their codes in so tele				
Description	Number of polygons	Code		
Water bodies and lakes	63	ns1		
Glaciers	6	ns2		
Urban areas	1	ns6		

Table 2. Non soil units at polygon level and their codes in SOTERLAC

The mapping units of Puerto Rico and the Colombian Amazon were revised (Dijkshoorn *et al.* 2005).

SOTER attributes

Data of about 70 soil profiles for Peru, Belize and Puerto Rico have been added (Dijkshoorn *et al.* 2005).

The SOTERLAC database (version 1.0) (FAO *et al.* 1998b) only considered a limited number of profile horizon attributes. SOTERLAC, version 2.0, follows the structure of the 1:1 M SOTER (van Engelen and Wen 1995). New data, for example exchangeable bases, have already been entered for all Brazilian profiles.

## 2.2 Southern Africa (SOTERSAF)

## Geometry

No geometric corrections were made to SOTERSAF (FAO and ISRIC 2003) as the coverage already used the coastline and boundaries of the Digital Chart of the World (DMA 1993), in accordance with SOTER conventions.

## SOTER attributes

The South African part of SOTERSAF contained numerous profiles for which only the soil classification was known. The number of these virtual profiles – every soil component lacking a measured profile was allowed a virtual profile with a classification name – has been reduced by grouping similarly classified soils.

A total of 130 polygons in the GIS file represent non-soil units. There were no data for 4 polygons. All were given special codes (Table 3) and have been removed from the attributes database.

Description	Number of polygons	Code
Water bodies and lakes	129	ns1
Perennial swamps	1	ns8
No data	4	ns99

## Table 3. Non soil units at polygon level and their codes in SOTERSAF

## 2.3 Central and Eastern Europe (SOVEUR)

## Geometry

Various corrections have been made to the SOTER database of Central and East Europe at scale 1:2.5 M (FAO and ISRIC 2000). The geometric part of SOVEUR has been corrected according to the Digital Chart of the World (DMA 1993). This included mainly the correction of national boundaries and coastlines. New polygons smaller than 10 km<sup>2</sup> have been removed.

## Profile locations

Profiles from Hungary and Ukraine had incorrect locations when plotted: errors were corrected.

## SOTER attributes

Table 4 shows the non-soil units that are now excluded from the attribute database in SOVEUR (342 polygons), but occur on the map.

Description	Number of polygons	Code
Water bodies and lakes	323	ns1
Urban areas	7	ns6
Glaciers and land ice	11	ns2
Quarries	1	ns7

In the soil component table some features can be classified as non soil. These form a part of the polygon and are also part of the landform such as dunes, rock outcrop, perennial swamps, salt plains, badlands, etc.

Non-soil units are flagged in the field 'Profile ID' of the soil component table by the ISO country code followed by the non-soil (ns) code (Table 5).

Table 5. Non soil units within soil components and their codes in SOVEUR

Description	Number of polygons	Code
Dunes and shifting sands	70	ns4
Rock outcrops	287	ns5

#### 1.4 Northeastern Africa (IGAD)

The Soils of northeastern Africa database (SNEA) (FAO et al. 1998a), which follows the DCW boundaries, has been restructured according to the SOTER format to allow generation of soil attributes for standard depths as explained in Chapter 2. The new tables for the soil component only hold the soil unit description according to the Revised Legend (FAO et al. 1988). The Kenyan part of the SNEA database has been replaced with data from the revised KenSOTER database (Batjes and Gicheru 2004).

In the attribute database (SOIL COMPONENT), 545 entries were indicated as WATER BODIES (ID = 9997) These have been deleted from the attribute file. This has been done also for non-soil entries as SWAMP (ID = 9995), and for NO DATA (ID = 9996) when covering 100% of a polygon or SOTER unit. This information is no longer available in the attribute database, but maintained in the GIS file (Table 6).

Table 0. Non son units at polygon level and then codes in SNL					
Description	Number of polygons	Code			
Water bodies and lakes	127	ns1			
Swamps	16	ns8			
No data	14	ns99			

# Table 6. Non soil units at polygon level and their codes in SNFA

#### 1.5 North and Central Eurasia

## Geometry

No corrections were made for the database of Northern and Central Eurasia (FAO and IIASA 1999) as the coverage included already the coastline and boundaries of the Digital Chart of the World (DMA 1993).

## SOTER attributes

The database has a SOTER structure but lacks profile and horizon data. Only soil classifications are given. All soil attribute data have thus been derived through taxotransfer rules.

# 2. HARMONIZATION PROCESS

## 2.1 Missing data

The revised SOTER databases described in Chapter 1 contain profile data, selected by national soil surveyors as being representative for the various map units, with analytical data derived from the soil survey reports, except for SNEA and North and Central Eurasia. These profile descriptions seldom hold all physical and chemical attribute data required by SOTER.

Missing data in these profiles have been filled using taxo-transfer rules derived from 9600 soil profiles in the ISRIC-WISE database. The procedure is detailed by Batjes (2003).

Details on the gap filling procedures for the SOTER databases of Southern Africa, Latin America and the Caribbean and Central and Eastern Europe can be found in separate publications (Batjes 2004, 2005a, c; Batjes and Gicheru 2004).

The databases of Northeastern Africa (FAO *et al.* 1998a) and North and Central Eurasia (FAO and IIASA 1999) do not contain profile data. Therefore, all soil parameters were derived via taxotransfer (Batjes 2005b, d).

## 2.2 Soil parameter estimates

Eighteen soil parameter estimates are given for each soil unit for fixed depth intervals of 0.2 m to 1 m depth. These parameters are commonly required for studies on global change (Table 7).

Table 7. List of soil parameters in secondary SOTER data sets (Batjes 2003)

Organic carbon Total nitrogen Soil reaction (pH<sub>H2O</sub>) Cation exchange capacity (CEC<sub>soil</sub>) Cation exchange capacity of clay size fraction (CEC<sub>clay</sub>) • <sup>‡</sup> Base saturation (as % of  $CEC_{soil}$ )<sup> $\pm$ </sup> Effective cation exchange capacity (ECEC) <sup>+ +</sup> Aluminium saturation (as % of ECEC) <sup>+</sup> CaCO<sub>3</sub> content Gypsum content Exchangeable sodium percentage (ESP) <sup>+</sup> Electrical conductivity of saturated paste (ECe) Bulk density Coarse fragments (volume %) Sand (mass %) Silt (mass %) Clay (mass %) Available water capacity (AWC; cm to specified depth, from -33 to -1500 kPa)<sup>--</sup>

<sup>\*</sup> Calculated from other measured soil properties.

<sup>+</sup> ECEC is defined as exchangeable (Ca<sup>++</sup>+Mg<sup>++</sup>+K<sup>+</sup>+Na<sup>+</sup>) + exchangeable (H<sup>+</sup>+Al<sup>+++</sup>) (van Reeuwijk 2002).

• CEC<sub>clay</sub> was calculated from CEC<sub>soil</sub> by assuming a mean contribution of 350  $\text{cmol}_{c} \text{ kg}^{-1}$  OC, the common range being from 150 to over 750  $\text{cmol}_{c} \text{ kg}^{-1}$  (Klamt and Sombroek 1988a).

<sup>1</sup> The soil water potential limits for AWC conform to USDA standards (Soil Survey Staff 1983).

## 2.3 Results

An MS Access<sup>®</sup> database has been generated that contains tables showing the full composition of each SOTER unit, the soil parameter estimates for all profiles and the rules applied to fill missing data.

## SOTER unit composition

The full composition of each SOTER unit is given in terms of its dominant soils – each one characterized by a typical profile – and their relative extent. The relative extent has been expressed in five classes: 1 – from 80 to 100%, 2 - from 60 to 80%, 3 – from 40 to 60%, 4 – from 20 to 40%, and 5 – less than 20%. A description of the table *SOTERunitComposition* is given in Appendix 1.

## Soil parameter estimates

The depth-weighted primary and taxotransfer rule-based data as defined by Batjes (2003), have been stored, layer by layer, in a secondary SOTER data set: table *SOTERparameterEstimates* (see Appendix 2).

## Taxotransfer rules

The type of taxotransfer rule used has been flagged by profile and depth layer in table *SOTERflagTTRrules* (see Appendix 3). These flags provide an indication for the inferred confidence, or uncertainty, of the various parameter estimates presented here.

## SOTER summary file

To facilitate the linking between the map and the attribute file, a SOTER summary file has been created (Appendix 4). It gives for every soil component in a SOTER unit the estimated soil parameters for standard depths.

# 3. **RASTERIZATION**

The harmonized coverages for SOTERLAC, SOTERSAF, etc. were merged into a single vector file. This file was been gridded to  $5 \times 5$  arcminutes using the convert to grid option in ArcView. This corresponds to 0.083333 decimal degrees.

Each raster cell has a code: NEWSUID, a combination of the country's ISO code plus the SOTER unit (SUID) code. This code can be used to link the aggregated information of each SOTER unit, the SOTER unit composition table and the SOTER parameter estimates for each profile, described in Appendix 1 and 2 respectively.

# 4. CONCLUSIONS

- The present dataset is considered appropriate for studies on agro-ecological zoning, land evaluation and modelling of global change.
- The present set of soil parameter estimates and geographic data should be seen as best estimates, based on the currently available selection of data held in the various SOTER sets and WISE.
- Adding soil horizon attributes to those parts of the SOTER databases that have no profile data (e.g. Central and Northern Eurasia, Northeast Africa) is seen as a priority provided funding is available.
- Additional attributes should be added to those SOTER databases that have still a limited number of attributes per pedon (for example parts of SOTERLAC).
- Geographic delineations should be refined using Digital Elevation Models.

## REFERENCES

- Batjes NH 2003. A taxo-transfer rule based approach for filling gaps in measured soil data in primary SOTER databases. Report 2003/03, ISRIC-World Soil Information, Wageningen
- Batjes NH 2004. *SOTER-based soil parameter estimates for Southern Africa (version 1.0).* Report 2004/04, ISRIC - World Soil Information, Wageningen
- Batjes NH 2005a. *SOTER-based soil parameter estimates for Latin America and the Caribbean (ver. 1.0)*. Report 2005/02, ISRIC World Soil Information, Wageningen
- Batjes NH 2005b. *WISE-based soil parameter estimates for North and Central Asia (East of the Urals) (ver. 1.0)*. Report 2005/03, ISRIC World Soil Information, Wageningen

- Batjes NH 2005c. *SOTER-based soil parameter estimates for Central and Eastern Europe (ver. 2.0).* Report 2005/04, ISRIC - World Soil Information, Wageningen
- Batjes NH 2005d. *WISE-based soil parameter estimates for Northeastern Africa (version 1.0)*. Report 2005/05, ISRIC - World Soil Information, Wageningen
- Batjes NH and Gicheru P 2004. *Soil data derived from SOTER for studies* of carbon stocks and change in Kenya (version 1.0). Report 2004/01, ISRIC - World Soil Information, Wageningen
- Dijkshoorn JA, Huting JRM and Tempel P 2005. Update of the 1:5 million Soil and Terrain Digital Database for Latin America and the Caribbean (SOTERLAC). Report 2005/01, ISRIC - World Soil Information, Wageningen
- DMA 1993. Digital Chart of the World. Defence Mapping Agency, Fairfax VI
- FAO and IIASA 1999. *Soil and Physiographic Database for North and Central Eurasia at 1:5 Million scale*. Land and Water Digital Media Series 7, FAO, Rome
- FAO and ISRIC 2000. Soil and Terrain Database, Land Degradation Status and Soil Vulnerability Assessment for Central and Eastern Europe, Version 1.0, 1:2.5 million scale. Land and Water Digital Media Series 10, FAO, Rome
- FAO and ISRIC 2003. *Soil and Terrain Database for Southern Africa (1:2 million scale)*. Land and Water Digital Media Series 25, FAO, Rome
- FAO, Unesco and ISRIC 1988. *Revised Legend, Soil Map of the World*. World Soil Resources Reports 60, FAO, Rome
- FAO, Cooperazione-Italiana and IGAD 1998a. *The Soil and Terrain Database for northeastern Africa. Crop Production System Zones of the IGAD subregion*. Land and Water Digital Media Series 2, FAO, Rome
- FAO, ISRIC, UNEP and CIP 1998b. Soil and Terrain Database for Latin America and the Caribbean - SOTERLAC (version1.0). Land and Water Digital Media Series 5, Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) of the United Nations, the International Soil Reference and Information Centre (ISRIC), the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) and the International Potato Centre (CIP), Rome
- FAO-Unesco 1974. Soil map of the world (1:5,000,000), Volume 1-10. Unesco, Paris
- Jenness J 2005. Dissolve adjacent polygons extension for ArcView, Jenness Enterprises (http://www.jennessent.com/arcview/dissolve adjacent\_polys.htm)
- Klamt E and Sombroek WG 1988a. Contribution of organic matter to exchange properties of Oxisols. In: Beinroth FN MN Camargo and H Eswaran, (editors), *Classification, characterization and utilization* of Oxisols. Proc. of the 8th International Soil Classification Workshop (Brazil, 12-23 May 1986). Empresa Brasiliera de Pesquisa Agropecuaria (EMBRAPA), Soil Management Support Service (SMSS) and University of Puerto Rico, pp 64-70
- Klamt E and Sombroek WG 1988b. Contribution of organic matter to exchange properties of Oxisols. In: Beinroth FH MN Camargo and

H Eswaran (editors), *Classification, characterization and utilization of Oxisols. Proc. of the 8th International Soil Classification Workshop (Brazil, 12 to 23 May 1986)*. Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuaria (EMBRAPA), Soil Management Support Services (SMSS) and University of Puerto Rico (UPR), Rio de Janeiro, pp 64-70

- Soil Survey Staff 1983. *Soil Survey Manual (rev. ed.)*. Agricultural Handbook 18. USDA, Washington
- van Engelen VWP and Wen TT 1995. *Global and National Soils and Terrain Digital Databases (SOTER), Procedures Manual (revised edition),* FAO, ISSS, ISRIC, Wageningen
- van Engelen VWP, Dijkshoorn JA and Huting JRM in prep. *Global and National Soil and Terrain Databases (SOTER). Procedures Manual (version 2.0)*, ISRIC - World Soil Information, Wageningen
- van Reeuwijk LP 2002. *Procedures for soil analysis (6th ed.)*. Technical Paper 9, ISRIC, Wageningen

# APPENDICES

## Appendix 1: SOTER unit composition file

This summary table gives the full composition of each SOTER unit in terms of its main soil units, their relative extent, and the identifier for the corresponding representative profile. It contains information aggregated from a number of primary SOTER tables, *viz. SoilComponent* and *Profile*. It can be easily linked to the SOTER geographical data in a GIS through the unique SOTER unit code – NEWSUID, a combination of the fields for ISO and SUID – and linked to the table holding the soil parameter estimates through the unique profile identifier (PRID, see Appendix 2).

Name	Type S	Size	Description
ISOC	Text	2	ISO-3166 country code (1994)
SUID	Integer	2	The identification code of a SOTER unit on the map and in the database
NEWSUI	D Text	10	Globally unique SOTER code, comprising fields ISOC plus SUID (sometimes called: ISOCSUID)
SOIL1	Text	3	Characterization of the first (main) soil component according to the Revised Legend (FAO, 1988)
PROP1	Integer	2	Proportion, as a percentage, that the main soil component occupies within the SOTER unit
PRID1	Text	15	Unique code for the corresponding representative soil profile (as selected by the national soil experts)
SOIL2	Text	3	As above but for the next soil component
PROP2	Integer	2	As above
PRID2	Text	15	As above
SOIL3	Text	3	As above but for the next soil component
PROP3	Integer	2	As above
PRID3	Text	15	As above
SOIL4	Text	3	As above but for the next soil component
PROP4	Integer	2	As above
PRID4	Text	15	As above
SOIL5	Text	3	As above but for the next soil component
PROP5	Integer	2	As above
PRID5	Text	15	As above
SOIL6	Text	3	As above but for the next soil component
PROP6	Integer	2	As above

tructure of table SOTERunitComposition

(cont.)			
PRID6	Text	15	As above
SOIL7	Text	3	As above but for the next soil component
PROP7	Integer	2	As above
PRID7	Text	15	As above
SOIL8	Text	3	As above but for the next soil component
PROP8	Integer	2	As above
PRID8	Text	15	As above
SOIL9	Text	3	As above but for the next soil component
PROP9	Integer	2	As above
PRID9	Text	15	As above
SOIL10	Text	3	As above but for the next soil component
PROP10	Integer	2	As above
PRID10	Text	15	As above

Note: Generally, not all 10 available fields for SOIL<sub>i</sub> will be filled in SOTER.

# Appendix 2: Taxotransfer rule-based soil parameter estimates

This table lists soil parameters estimates for all representative profiles considered in a given SOTER database. This information can be linked to the geographical component of the SOTER database – in a GIS – through the unique profile code (PRID, see Appendix 1).

Name	Туре	Size	Description
CLAF	Text	3	Revised Legend FAO (1988) code
PRID	Text	15	profile ID (as documented in table <i>SOTERunitComposition</i> )
Drain	Text	2	FAO soil drainage class
Layer	Text	8	code for depth layer (from D1 to D5; e.g. D1 is from 0 to 20 cm)
TopDep	Integer	• 4	depth of top of layer (cm)
BotDep	Integer	• 4	depth of bottom of (cm)
CFRAG	Integer	· 2	coarse fragments (> 2mm)
SDTO	Integer	· 2	sand (mass %)
STPC	Integer	· 2	silt (mass %)
CLPC	Integer	· 2	clay (mass %)
PSCL	Text	1	FAO texture class (see (Batjes 2003) for codes)
BULK	Single	4	bulk density (kg dm <sup>-3</sup> )
TAWC	Integer	· 2	available water capacity (cm m <sup>-1</sup> , -33 to -1500 kPa conform to USDA standards)

Structure of table *SOTERparameterEstimates* 

Harmonized Global Soil Resources Database

(cont.)			
CECS	Single	4	cation exchange capacity (cmol <sub>c</sub> $kg^{-1}$ ) for fine earth fraction
BSAT	Integer	2	base saturation as percentage of CECsoil
CECc	Single	4	CECclay, corrected for contribution of organic matter $(\text{cmol}_c \text{kg}^{-1})$
PHAQ	Single	4	pH measured in water
TCEQ	Single	4	total carbonate equivalent (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )
GYPS	Single	4	gypsum content (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )
ELCO	Single	4	electrical conductivity (dS m <sup>-1</sup> )
TOTC	Single	4	organic carbon content (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )
TOTN	Single	4	total nitrogen (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )
ECEC	Single	4	effective CEC (cmol <sub>c</sub> kg <sup>-1</sup> )

Note: These are depth-weighted values.

The above table should be consulted in conjunction with table *SOTERflagTTRrules* which documents the taxotransfer rules that have been applied (see Appendix 3).

## Appendix 3: Flagging taxotransfer rules

The type of taxotransfer that has been used when creating the table *SOTERparameterEstimates* (Appendix 2) is documented in table *SOTERflagTTRrules*. Further details on coding conventions may be found in Batjes (2003).

Name	Type Size	Description
CLAF	Text 3	Revised Legend (FAO, 1988) code
PRID	Text 15	Unique identifier for representative profile
Newtopdep	Integer 2	Depth of top of layer (cm)
Newbotdep	Integer 2	Depth of bottom of layer (cm)
TTRsub	Text 50	Codes showing the type of taxotransfer rule used (based on data for soil <i>units</i> ; see text)
TTRmain	Text 50	Codes showing the type of taxotransfer rule used (based on data for <i>major units</i> ; see text)
TTRfinal	Text 25	Codes showing the type of expert rules used

Structure of table *SOTERflagTTRrules* 

Note: For example, the exchangeable aluminium percentage (ALSA) has been set at zero when  $pH_{water}$  is higher than 5.5. Similarly, the electrical conductivity (ELCO), content of gypsum (GYPS) and content of carbonates (TCEQ) have been

set at zero when pH<sub>water</sub> is less than 6.5. Finally, the CEC of the clay fraction (CEC<sub>clay</sub>) has always been re-calculated from the depth-weighted measured and TTR-derived data for CEC<sub>soil</sub> and content of organic carbon, assuming a mean contribution of 350 cmol<sub>c</sub> kg<sup>-1</sup> OC (Klamt and Sombroek 1988b). When applicable, this has been flagged in the field TTRfinal. Details may be found in.Batjes (2003).

## Appendix 4: SOTER summary file

Interpretations of a SOTER database, in combination with the current set of soil parameter estimates requires a good knowledge of relational database handling systems and a sound understanding of the SOTER database structure. This may be an obstacle to end-users with limited programming expertise. Therefore, to facilitate access to the data and its ultimate linkage to GIS, a SOTER summary file has been created. The structure of the corresponding table is shown below.

Name	Type Size	Description
ISOC	Text 2	ISO-3166 country code (1994)
SUID	Integer 2	The identification code of a SOTER unit on the map and in the database
NEWSUID	Text 10	Globally unique SOTER code, comprising fields ISOC plus SUID
TCID	Integer 1	Number of terrain component in given SOTER unit
SCID	Integer 1	Number of soil component within given terrain component and SOTER unit
PROP	Integer 3	Relative proportion of above in given SOTER unit
CLAF	Text 3	Revised Legend FAO (1988) code
PRID	Text 15	Profile ID (as documented in table SOTER- unitComposition)
Drain	Text 2	FAO soil drainage class
Layer	Text 8	Code for depth layer (from D1 to D5; e.g. D1 is from 0 to 20 cm)
TopDep	Integer 4	Upper depth of layer (cm)
BotDep	Integer 4	Lower dept of layer (cm)
CFRAG	Integer 2	Coarse fragments (> 2mm)
SDTO	Integer 2	Sand (mass %)
STPC	Integer 2	Silt (mass %)
CLPC	Integer 2	Clay (mass %)
PSCL	Text 1	FAO texture class (see Figure 8)
BULK	Single 4	Bulk density (kg dm <sup>-3</sup> )

## Structure of table SOTERsummaryFile

(cont.)			
TAWC	Integer	2	Available water capacity (cm m <sup>-1</sup> , -33 to -1500 kPa, USDA standards)
CECS	Single	4	Cation exchange capacity (cmol <sub>c</sub> kg <sup>-1</sup> ) of fine earth fraction
BSAT	Integer	2	Base saturation as percentage of CECsoil
CECc	Single	4	CECclay, corrected for contribution of organic Matter (cmol <sub>c</sub> kg <sup>-1</sup> )
PHAQ	Single	4	pH measured in water
TCEQ	Single	4	Total carbonate equivalent (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )
GYPS	Single	4	Gypsum content (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )
ELCO	Single	4	Electrical conductivity (dS m <sup>-1</sup> )
TOTC	Single	4	Organic carbon content (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )
TOTN	Single	4	Total nitrogen (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )
ECEC	Single	4	Effective CEC (cmol <sub>c</sub> kg <sup>-1</sup> )

Notes:

1) These are depth-weighted values, per 20 cm layer.

 Terrain Components, and their constituent Soil Components, within a given SOTER unit are numbered starting with the spatially dominant one. The sum of the relative proportions of all Soil Components within a SOTER unit is always 100 per cent.

 A limited number of TTR-derived records may contain a negative value; this indicates that it has not yet been possible to plug the corresponding gaps using the current set of taxotransfer rules.